Search Notes

App	lica	tion/C	ontrol	No.

Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination

09/993,888

Examiner

PENNELL ET AL.

Art Unit

Raymond J. Bayerl

2173

	SEAR	CHED	
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
345	713	7/26/2004	ORM
345	· 709	7/26/2004	ORM
345	707	7/26/2004	ORM
715	808,809	3/29/2005	RB
	781,713		
	709,707		
	733,738		
	741,743		
	748,749		
	760,788		
	803		
705	14		
719	328,310		
	318,329		

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED		
Subclass	Date	Examiner
as per	4/26/2005	RB
above		
	Subclass as per	Subclass Date as per 4/26/2005

SEARCH NOT (INCLUDING SEARCH)
	DATE	EXMR
I used a 103 for the first office action, because Ray Bayrel Isuggested to, the 1st prior art was a system that send ad	7/26/2004	ORM
to every client computer. This way pop-up were possible. 2 nd prior art was a way to block the pop-up from coming to	7/26/2004	ORM
the screen. This way we rejected because of 103 it would been eay to make a pop-up blocker blocker	7/26/2004	ORM
EAST Search Files USPAT, PG-PUB IS&R/BRS Query; Image Browse (transcript attached)	3/29/2005	RB
EAST Search Update Image Browse of updated IS&R/BRS Term	4/26/2005	RB
•		

Search Notes (continued)

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	_
09/993,888	PENNELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Raymond J. Bayerl	2173	

	SEARCHED		
	<u> </u>		
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
Update	Search	4/26/2005	RB .
All	Above		
	Subcl		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		-	

INT	INTERFERENCE SEARCHED		
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
	•		

(INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)			
	DATE	EXMR	
•			
		-	
		<u> </u>	